# La décroissance thérapeutique dans les MICI

### Pourquoi Quand Comment



8<sup>ème</sup> Journée d'échanges cliniques en MICI Jeudi 21 septembre 2023

**Edouard LOUIS** 

### Disclosures

- E Louis has received fees for:
  - Research Grant: Takeda, Pfizer
  - Educational Grant: Abbvie, MSD, Takeda, Janssen
  - Speaker Fees: Abbvie, Ferring, MSD, Falk, Takeda, Hospira, Janssen, Pfizer, Celgene
  - Advisory Board: Abbvie, Ferring, MSD, Takeda, Celltrion, Celgene, Hospira, Janssen
  - Consultant: Abbvie

### Disclaimer

- This is a medical education event with the support of Janssen-Cilag NV.
- This presentation represents the opinion of the speaker and not necessarily the opinion of Janssen.
- This presentation may include discussions on off-label use of drugs.

### Biocycle Website: <u>http://biocycle-project.eu/</u>





Les maladies résultent d'une rupture de l'homéostasie de l'organisme avec une focalisation préférentielle sur l'un ou l'autre organe



# Cyclic treatment is not on demand treatment

- First and undisputable aim of IBD treatment is full disease control
- The idea of the Cyclic treatment is to aim at the lowest IS/biological use still compatible with full disease control



Louis E. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2018;24:725-731

### Reasons to contemplate **Treatment de-escalation** in IBD

- Safety
- Specific situations
- Patients concerns
- Adherence
- Cost

### Long term side effects with purines and anti-TNF

#### HRs comparing the risk of lymphoma in patients exposed to thiopurine monotherapy, anti-TNF monotherapy, and combination therapy vs unexposed patients

	Exposed to thiopurine monotherapy vs unexposed to thiopurines or anti-TNF agents		Exposed to anti-tnf monotherapy vs unexposed to thiopurines or anti-TNF agents		Exposed to combination therapy vs unexposed to thiopurines or anti-TNF agents	
Lymphoma Type	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)
All Patients						
All lymphoma	2.06 (1.58-2.70)	2.06 (1.96-3.44)	1.57 (1.08-2.28)	2.41 (1.60-3.64)	3.60 (2.10-6.19)	6.11 (3.46-10.8)
Hodgkin Iymphoma	2.78 (1.45-5.33)	2.83 (1.37-5.84)	2.21 (0.92-5.35)	2.23 (0.81-6.13)	11.1 (4.76-27.2)	12.1 (4.46-33.1)
Non-Hodgkin Iymphoma	1.95 (1.45-2.62)	2.57 (1.90-3.49)	1.47 (0.97-2.22)	2.48 (1.58-3.89)	2.38 (1.17-4.84)	4.48 (2.15-9.34)
	Incidence rate 10 000 person- (unadjuste	s per years d) Thiopurit monother	ne Anti- apy monot	-TNF Co herapy	mbination therapy	
	Serious infections					
		_	***** ***** ***** ****	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Opportunisti infections	c	***** ***** *****	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Viral	*****	*****	**********		

\*\*\*\*\*

Bacterial

Kirchgesner J, et al. Gastroenterology. 2018. Lemaitre M, et al. JAMA 2017;318(17):1679-86.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### Acceptance for flare risk among French and American patients

What is the maximum level of risk you are willing to accept that you would experience a flare up (return of symptoms) within 2 years of stopping combination therapy in order to be able to reduce number of treatments you are taking?



X<sup>2</sup> (4, N=410) = 22.612 , p=.000



Bonjour Monsieur Louis,

Je me permets de vous adresser un petit message car j'ai reçu cette semaine mes derniers résultats CRP & Calprotectine qui sont excellents. Ceci marque ma première année de vie avec la maladie de Crohn sans traitement. Atteindre cet objectif, 15 ans après le diagnostic, est une réelle victoire pour moi et je souhaitais la partager avec vous!

Je tiens à vous remercier sincèrement pour votre prise en charge et votre accompagnement.

Je vous souhaite un bel été et on se revoit en janvier pour ma visite de contrôle.

Bien à vous,



## Cost-effectiveness of infliximab combo continuation in sustained remission in CD



### AZA withdrawal

GETAID



Lémann M et al. Gastroenterology 2005;128:1812

### Stopping immunosuppressant at least 6 months after starting infliximab



# Time-to-relapse after infliximab withdrawal in patients continuing on IS

Results from the STORI cohort according to predictive model



#### Deleterious factors were:

no previous surgery, steroid use within 12-6 months before infliximab withdrawal, male gender, haemoglobin  $\leq 14.5$  g/dl, leukocyte count >6 10<sup>9</sup>/l, hsCRP  $\geq 5$  mg/l, faecal calprotectin  $\geq 300 \mu$ g/g, CDEIS >0, infliximab trough  $\geq 2$  mg/l GETAID

### Meta-analysis: CD relapse by 12m

Study	n	Perc	entage relapse within 12 months 95% Confidence Interval
Kennedy 2015	146	H	36 (29, 44)
Monterubbianesi 2015	58	H H	31 (20, 43)
Brooks 2014	86	<b>⊢</b> ∎	36 (26, 46)
Molander 2014	17	<b>⊢</b>	29 (11, 53)
Dart 2014	9	<b>⊢</b>	33 (8, 65)
Chauvin 2014	38	<b>⊢</b> −■−−1	44 (29, 60)
Molnár 2013	121	H <b>B</b> -1	45 (36, 54)
Louis 2012	115	⊢∎⊣	44 (35, 53
Wynands 2008	11	<b>⊢</b>	<b>−</b> 73 (44, 94)
Domenéch 2005	23	<b>⊢</b> −•−−1	31 (14, 51)
Overall	624	•	39 (35, 44)
		0 20 40 60 80	100
	Pe	ercentage relapsing within	12 months

Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=12%, p=0.19

Large Spanish multicenter experience of anti-TNF withdrawal

- 1055 patients
- CD:
  - Incidence of relapse: 19%/year
  - Predictors:
    - Ada vs IFx (HR=1.29; 95% CI= 1.01-1.66)
    - Elective vs Top Down (HR=1.9; 95% CI= 1.07-3.37)
    - Intolerance vs Top Down (HR=2.33; 95% CI=1.27-2.02)
    - Colonic vs Ileal (HR=1.51; 95% CI= 1.13-2.02)
    - B2 vs B1 (HR=1.5; 95% CI=1.09-2.05)
    - No Immunomodulator after stop (HR=1.49; 95% CI=1.15-1.96)
    - Younger age (HR=1.02; 95% CI= 1.01-1.03)
- UC:
  - Incidence of relapse: 17%/year
  - Predictors: No



current issue recently published author center about  $\mathsf{Q}$ 

#### ≣ □ \*\*\* © ...

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE Discontinuation of Infliximab Therapy in Patients with Crohn's Disease

Sine Buhl, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Casper Steenholdt, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Jørn Brynskov, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Katrine Risager Christensen, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Maria Dorn-Rasmussen, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Ole Østergaard Thomsen, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Klaus Bendtzen, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Tobias Wirenfeldt Klausen, M.Sc.<sup>1</sup>, Jens Frederik Dahlerup, M.D.<sup>3</sup>, Niels Thorsgaard, M.D.<sup>4</sup>, ..., for the Stop Infliximab Treatment (STOP-IT) Study Group<sup>\*</sup> Show More ~

#### У Tweet

Published June 14, 2022 NEJM Evid 2022; 1 (8) DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/EVIDoa2200061 Issue >



### First co-primary endpoint

**Time to relapse** 







Louis E et al, Lancet Gastro 2023

### Response to retreatment after infliximab withdrawal

#### CD



Percentage responding to retreatment

#### Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=64%, p<0.01

Kennedy N, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016 Feb 19 [E-pub]

#### UC



Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=24%, p=0.33

### **Treatment Failure**



- A treatment failure was observed in:
  - 7/67 (10.4%) in **arm A** (combo)
  - 6/71 (8.4%) 1 in **arm B** (stop IFX)
  - 11/67 (16.4%) in **arm C** (stop antimet)







Arm A vs Arm B = **6 days** (95%CI: -33 - **44** days) Arm C vs Arm B = **14 days** (95%CI: -21 - **69** days)

The prespecified non-inferiority threshold was **34 days.** As the 95%CI overlapped the threshold, the hypothesis was rejected



Louis E et al, Lancet Gastro 2023

## Stori long term: Time to surgical resection or new complex perianal disease

Kaplan-Meier curve of severe relapse (n=15/102) Median  $\pm$  SE follow up time 81 $\pm$  5 months



### Two important questions

- What is the risk of relapse ?
  - Mainly defined by persisting signs of inflammation/intestinal lesions/immune activation/...
- What could be the consequences in case of relapse ?
  - Mainly defined by previous medical history, previous treatment responses, disease location (including perianal) and previous complications, previous surgeries

### A multidimensional decision

- Putting in perspective:
  - Patients wishes and priorities
  - Risk of relapse
  - Potential consequences of a relapse

Young males Older patients	Patients demographics	Young age at diagnosis
Short disease extent Short duration between diagnosis and start of effective therapy	Disease features	Perianal disease Ileal disease Extensive disease
Stable therapy with no need for acute therapy or dose-escalation	Treatment history	Previous surgery Previous IM failure Previous need for anti-TNF Previous relapsing course (need for steroids, need for dose- intensification)
Mucosal healing Biological remission Trough levels (low for anti-TNF/ adequate for IM) Prolonged sustained remission	Current disease status	Markers of inflammation (elevated CRP, low Hb, elevated platelet, leucocyte count) Mucosal ulcerations Transmural thickening Short duration of remission
Previous history of cancer and serious infections	Patients preferences and willingness to accept various risks	Absence of comorbidities
Factors favoring de-escalating		Factors favoring continuir

### Decision grid fo treatment withdrawal

	Successive interruptions and cycles of biological	Continuation of current therapy
	therapy (Biocycling)	
Clinical	Absence of all the following:	Presence of at least one of the following:
characteristics	<ul> <li>Absence of perianal disease, AND</li> </ul>	Perianal disease, OR
	First ever anti-TNF agent (or second anti-TNF agent for reasons other than primary non-response or secondary loss of response), AND	<ul> <li>Second anti-TNF agent (after primary non-response or secondary loss of response on the first anti-TNF agent), OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Absence of inflammatory comorbidity requiring biologic treatment, AND</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Any inflammatory comorbidity requiring biologic treatment, OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>No use of corticosteroids in the past 6 months, AND</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Treatment with corticosteroids in the past 6 months</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>No history of surgical resection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Previous surgical resection</li> </ul>
Biomarker	Sustained remission:	Active disease:
characteristics	<ul> <li>Absence of symptoms of active disease, AND</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Symptoms of active disease, OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Two consecutive FC results in the target range in the previous 6 months, OR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>FC out of target range in the previous 6 months, OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Confirmed endoscopic remission in the previous 6 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Endoscopically confirmed disease activity in the previous 6 months</li> </ul>

### Decision grid fo treatment withdrawal

Successive interruptions and cycles of biological therapy (Biocycling)

	Interrupted drug exposure	Unchanged exposure to biological therapy
Benefits	<ul> <li>One year after discontinuation, no new drug-related skin reactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Among those who do not interrupt their therapy, approximately 10 people out of 100 develop skin reactions.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>After discontinuation, the susceptibility for infection is reduced.<sup>4</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Among those who do not interrupt their therapy, the susceptibility for infection remains unchanged.</li> </ul>
Safety risks	<ul> <li>One year after discontinuation, approximately 40 people out of 100 experience a clinical relapse.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Among those who do not interrupt their therapy, approximately 10 people out of 100 experience a clinical relapse over one year.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Among the patients who experience a clinical relapse after discontinuation, approximately 90% can be successfully retreated with the same drug.</li> </ul>	Among patients who experience relapse despite continuous treatment, approximately 50 out of 100 regain remission with treatment optimisation
	Discontinuation and cycling of biologic therapy	Continuation of current therapy
Patient self test	1 I wish to stop because of potential long term side effects	1 I am more concerned about the risks of stopping than the potential side effects
Which is the preferred	2 I accept the risk of a flare and trust that it can be controlled when the medication is reintroduced	2 I do not want to risk a flare of disease
statement?	3 I accept that re-capturing remission may require a course of steroid medication	3 I do not want to receive another course of steroid medication

**Continuation of current therapy** 

### Prédicteurs biologiques de la rechute

Pierre N, et al, Gut 2023



#### Comprendre la Biologie de la rechute et adapter les traitements



### What follow-up do you plan:

• PRO2

•

- Weigth
- CRP and blood tests
- Fecal calprotectin
- Ultrasound
- MR-enterography
- Ilecolonoscopy
- Capsule endoscopy

## Calpro and CRP monitoring after anti-TNF withdrawal in CD



Preliminary results of an exploratory analysis of longitudinal follow-up of the STORI-GETAID cohort



CRP (mg/L)



Calpro (µg/g)

### Response to retreatment after infliximab withdrawal

#### CD



Percentage responding to retreatment

#### Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=64%, p<0.01

Kennedy N, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016 Feb 19 [E-pub]

#### UC



Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=24%, p=0.33

### Summary: anti-TNF withdrawal



Clinical outcomes of increased versus conventional adalimumab dose intervals for Crohn's disease : the LADI trial

Matériel et méthodes



**Figure 2** Schematic presentation of the trial design. ADA, adalimumab; W0, week 0; W6, week 6 and so on. Lab tests include haemoglobin, leucocytes, thrombocytes, albumin, C-reactive protein, calprotectin.

## Clinical outcomes of increased versus conventional adalimumab dose intervals for Crohn's disease : the LADI trial

Résultats

#### **Objectif primaire**

SCHEMA ALLONGE	3/109 (3 %)	pooled adjusted risk difference (paRD): 1.86%, 90% confidence interval (CI): [-0.36%; + 4.12%]).
SCHEMA CONVENTIONNEL	0/60 (0 %)	

→ Poussées persistantes : non-infériorité du schéma allongé (différence incidence cumulée < 15 %)</p> Clinical outcomes of increased versus conventional adalimumab dose intervals for Crohn's disease : the LADI trial

Résultats

Van Linschoten R, et al. UEG 2022. **Objectifs secondaires** Poussées transitoires Rémission clinique S48 2/109 (1,8 %) **SCHEMA** 74/105 (71 %) ALLONGE **SCHEMA** 0/60 (0%) 52/57 (91 %) **CONVENTIONNEL** paRD: 2.68%, paRD: -16.3% 95% CI: [-0.93%; 6.30%]) 95% CI: [-30.9%; -1.82%]

# Le futur de la décroissance thérapeutique

- Agir sur les facteurs environnementaux
- Affiner les prédicteurs de rechute à court terme et à long terme (comprendre la dynamique de la rechute et le retour à m'équilibre homéostatique)
- Privilégier le maintien de traitement de fond les plus sécurisants (vedo, anti-IL23...)
- Monitoring serré et cycles de petites molécules (non immunogènes) très actives (JAKi...)

### Conclusions

- La décroissance thérapeutique a du sens dans les maladies chroniques sans destruction d'organe
- Elle correspond à une aspiration des patients
- Elle peut améliorer le coût-bénéfice
- Elle nécessite une meilleure compréhension de la dynamique de la pathologie
- Elle peut s'articuler sur des traitements de maintenance très bien tolérés et des cycles de traitements très efficaces